

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DNA RESIDENTIAL PROP dba  
ATLANTIC TOWERS, Plaintiff,

v.

JAMAR M. FILLMORE; TAMIKA S.  
OWENS, DOES 1 to 10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

No. CV 13-8414 UA (DUTYx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING  
ACTION TO STATE COURT

The Court will remand this "Complaint - Unlawful Detainer," Case No. 13F04561, to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly.

On July 3, 2013, Defendant Tamika S. Owens, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

The Court has denied the *in forma pauperis* application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a);

1 *see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc.*, 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162  
2 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship existed, the amount in  
3 controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. *See* 28  
4 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that  
5 the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000.

6 Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. *See*  
7 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).


8 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior  
9 Court of California, County of Los Angeles County, South, 415 West Ocean Blvd, Long  
10 Beach, California 90802 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
11 § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3)  
12 that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14  
15 DATED: 12/11/13

16  
17  
18   
\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE H. KING  
Chief United States District Judge

19  
20 Presented by:

21   
22 /S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM  
23 FREDERICK F. MUMM  
United States Magistrate Judge